## Parliamentary Opinion Format (Rempel)

Here is the format offered by Ann Rempel, PRP, during her presentation at the 2006 CSAP Convention. Do we have other formats? Ignore the borders.

Parliamentary Opinion	
	Date
Questi	on(s): (This section could be called Inquiry)
	<ul> <li>Restate the question and say who asked</li> </ul>
Facts:	
	<ul> <li>Information submitted by the association</li> <li>Relevant information from Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, Minutes, other sources</li> <li>Parliamentary authority adopted by the association</li> </ul>
Comments: (Some call this section Discussion)	
	<ul> <li>Explanation</li> <li>Supporting references</li> </ul>
Opinio	n:
	<ul> <li>Restate and bold questions – then answer each (this is useful to connect things for the client's easy reading)</li> </ul>
Recommendations:	
	<ul> <li>Action(s) recommended for solving problems(s) on long term basis</li> </ul>
This Orde	laimer by Ann Rempel opinion is based on general principles of parliamentary law and Robert's Rules of er Newly Revised, 10 <sup>th</sup> edition, (or whatever parliamentary authority is being cited) nothing in this opinion should be construed as interpretation of statutory law.

If you email me (<u>Lorenzo.cuesta@dgs.ca.gov</u>) your written parliamentary opinions, I will gladly make sufficient copies for all. I would need only a couple of days before the next meeting.